Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/897,315	LARSON, BRENT KEVIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Katarzyna Wyrozebski</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Henry Young</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 October 2004	Time: <u>1300</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	1.	
Claims discussed: 10 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writton a writton of the substance of the substa	en summary of the substance
VIII		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	onature – if appropriate)
(Application	Abuseur a richiosomania Off	gratare – ii appropriate) – /

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment to change dependency of claim 10 from claim 21 that was cancelled to claim 28. The applicant's representative also authorized change to title of the invention. Title was shorten as depicted in the examiner's amendment..